

Erratum: Elasticity and stability of a helical filament
[Phys. Rev. E 71, 052801 (2005)]

Zicong Zhou,^{*} Pik-Yin Lai,[†] and Béla Joós[‡]
 (Received 17 January 2006; published 28 March 2006)

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.73.039910](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.73.039910)

PACS number(s): 46.32.+x, 46.25.Cc, 62.20.Fe, 99.10.Cd

The main conclusions in this work are correct. However, the stability criterion, S , was addressed improperly. This is because $\dot{\theta}$ and $\dot{\psi}$ cannot be viewed as independent variables in the problem. Therefore, although Eq. (13) is correct, the positive definiteness of matrix S (with elements $S_{ij} = \partial^2 \mathcal{E} / \partial \eta_i \partial \eta_j$, $i, j = 1-5$) used in that equation provides a sufficient but not a necessary condition for stability. A sufficient and necessary condition is provided by the positive definiteness of a submatrix of S , S' (with elements $S'_{ij} = \partial^2 \mathcal{E} / \partial \eta_i \partial \eta_j$, $i, j = 1, 2, 4$). With this new stability condition, and with proper boundary conditions, a static helix can be stable (or at least metastable) [1] in accord with experimental observations [2].

[1] Z. Zhou, B. Joós, and P.-Y. Lai (unpublished).

[2] B. Smith, Y. V. Zastavker, and G. B. Benedek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 278101 (2001).

^{*}Electronic address: zzhou@mail.tku.edu.tw

[†]Electronic address: pylai@phy.ncu.edu.tw

[‡]Electronic address: bjooos@uottawa.ca